IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.154 OF 2006
IN
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.135 OF 2005
IN
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.307 OF 2004

Tanaaz Timblo. ..Applicant.

V/s.

Prashant Timblo. ..Respondent.

Mr.C.U.Singh, Sr.Counsel with Ms.Mini Mathew, counsel with Mr.Mahesh Londhe i/b. M/s.Sanjay Udeshi & Co. for applicant.

Mr.Jamshed Cama, Sr.Counsel with Mrs.Mrunalini Deshmukh with Ms.Nazma Shaikh with Ms.Jyotsana i/b. Vigil Juris for respondent.

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR,J

DATE : DECEMBER 8, 2006.

P.C. :

1. Heard counsel for the parties.

2. After hearing counsel at length, as I was inclined to allow this application, it was put to the counsel appearing for the respondent as to whether the respondent would like this Court to record detailed reasons while dealing with the arguments advanced across the bar. Counsel appearing for the respondent on instruction states that no reason be recorded and the Court may pass only operative order

allowing the present application, as any opinion noted may affect the merits of the main matter. In the circumstances, without recording reasons, this application is allowed in terms of prayer clause 18(a), subject to further orders to be passed regarding appearance of the Respondent in the main matter. It is clarified that all questions are left open.